

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

Marina Robinson,

Plaintiff,

v.

GC Services, L.P.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Civil Action No.: 1:13-cv-11973

COMPLAINT

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Marina Robinson, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by Defendants and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.

2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff, Marina Robinson ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Quincy, Massachusetts, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. Defendant GC Services, L.P. ("GC"), is a Texas business entity with an address of 6330 Gulfton Street, Houston, Texas 77081, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

6. Does 1-10 (the “Collectors”) are individual collectors employed by GC and whose identities are currently unknown to Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.

7. GC at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

8. Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$5,000.00 (the “Debt”) to an original creditor (the “Creditor”).

9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes, which meets the definition of a “debt” under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to GC for collection, or GC was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.

11. Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in “communications” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. GC Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

12. On or about July 10, 2013, GC contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.

13. During this initial telephone conversation, GC threatened that it would immediately garnish Plaintiff’s wages if she did not sign up for GC’s “rehabilitation program.”

14. Plaintiff responded that she needed additional time to consider her options and could not make a decision in such a short amount of time.

15. The very next day, Plaintiff called GC and inquired about payment options.

16. GC responded that there were no options left for Plaintiff and that her “time was

up.” GC then told Plaintiff that it would be moving forward with “other actions.”

17. GC has not provided Plaintiff with a notice of its intent to garnish, as required by law.

18. Moreover, GC failed to send Plaintiff any written correspondence informing Plaintiff of her rights under federal law.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

19. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of Defendants’ unlawful conduct.

20. As a direct consequence of Defendants’ acts, practices and conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

COUNT I
VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

22. Defendants’ conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse Plaintiff in connection with collection of the Debt.

23. Defendants’ conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e in that Defendants used false, deceptive and/or misleading representations or means in connection with collection of the Debt.

24. Defendants’ conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4) in that Defendants threatened Plaintiff with garnishment if the Debt was not paid.

25. Defendants’ conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect the Debt.

26. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendants used unfair and unconscionable means to collect the Debt.

27. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a) in that Defendants failed to send Plaintiff a letter within five days of its initial contact with Plaintiff.

28. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA.

29. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

COUNT II
VIOLATION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT,
M.G.L. c. 93A § 2, et seq.

30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

31. Defendants employed unfair or deceptive acts to collect the Debt, in violation of M.G.L. c. 93A § 2.

32. Defendant's failure to comply with these provisions constitutes an unfair or deceptive act under M.G.L. c. 93A § 9 and, as such, Plaintiff is entitled to double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants:

1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
4. Double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to M.G.L. c. 93A § 3(A);

5. Actual damages from Defendants for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for Plaintiff;
6. Punitive damages; and
7. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: August 15, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ Sergei Lemberg

Sergei Lemberg (BBO# 650671)
LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C.
1100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor
Stamford, CT 06905
Telephone: (203) 653-2250
Facsimile: (203) 653-3424
Attorneys for Plaintiff